A Hypothesis on the Explosive Transformation of Mass Defect in Nuclear Reactions

Joseph George
Email: atommodel@gmail.com, www.youtube.com/atommodel

Abstract

This paper presents a hypothesis that the mass defect in nuclear reactions undergoes an explosive phase transformation into an extremely fine form of matter, propelling the rapid acceleration of reaction products. Large-scale mass defects observed in energetic astrophysical events—such as active stars, supernovae, and neutron star collisions—suggest that space is permeated by this fine matter. This pervasive medium offers an alternative explanation for key relativistic effects, including length contraction and the increase of mass with velocity. By diverging from the conventional mass-energy equivalence principle, this hypothesis challenges aspects of the special theory of relativity and introduces a new perspective on the mass-energy relationship. A theoretical model is proposed to describe the kinetic energy distribution of reaction products resulting from the mass defect, extending its applicability to relativistic phenomena. The implications of this work span nuclear physics, astrophysics, and cosmology.

Introduction

The mass defect is a foundational concept in nuclear physics, essential for understanding the energy released during nuclear reactions. Traditionally, this phenomenon has been interpreted through Einstein's mass-energy equivalence principle, $E = mc^2$, which posits that mass can be directly converted into energy, with even a small mass yielding substantial energy due to the large magnitude of c^2. Conversely, energy can also be transformed into mass, as observed in the increase of mass with velocity.

In atomic nuclei, the mass defect arises from the fact that the measured mass of a nucleus is less than the sum of its constituent protons and neutrons. This difference, ΔM, is associated with the binding energy BE, which opposes the Coulomb repulsion between protons and binds the nucleus together. The mass defect is quantified by $\Delta M = (Zm_p + Nm_n) - M_{\text{nucleus}}$, where Z and N represent the numbers of protons and neutrons, respectively; m_p and m_n are their masses; and M_{nucleus} is the measured nuclear mass. The binding energy is proportional to the mass defect and is given by $BE = \Delta M \times c^2$, where larger values of binding energy correspond to greater nuclear stability. This relationship plays a key role in nuclear fission and fusion, where variations in binding energy between reactants and products result in significant energy release.

For instance, in the fission of a Uranium-235 nucleus into Barium-141 and Krypton-92 (along with three neutrons), the resulting mass defect of 0.1779 u corresponds to an energy release of 165.8 MeV. In the fusion of deuterium and tritium, t ↓ ormation of helium-4 and a neutron involves a mass defect of 0.018883 u, releasing 17.6 MeV. Such processes demonstrate how changes in nuclear

binding energy manifest as observable energy outputs.

The Lorentz transformation is central to special relativity and the formulation of the mass-energy equivalence principle, describing how space and time coordinates transform for observers in relative motion. This framework predicts time dilation and length contraction as objects approach the speed of light. The Lorentz factor, $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$, shows that momentum is not merely $p = mv$ but instead incorporates this relativistic factor: $p = \gamma mv$. Similarly, the total energy in special relativity is $E = \gamma mc^2$, which simplifies to $E = mc^2$ for a stationary object ($v = 0$). This energy-momentum relationship, expressed as $E^2 = (pc)^2 + (mc^2)^2$, reinforces the deep connection between mass and intrinsic energy, even at rest, and ensures the conservation of energy and momentum across reference frames.

The development of special relativity was significantly influenced by the rejection of the ether theory following the null results of the Michelson-Morley experiment in 1887. This experiment, which aimed to detect variations in the speed of light caused by a hypothetical "luminiferous ether," instead supported the constancy of the speed of light in all reference frames, irrespective of the observer's motion. This result, aligned with Maxwell's electromagnetic theory, became a cornerstone of special relativity and the associated conclusions regarding mass-energy equivalence.

This study, however, explores the possibility that the mass defect does not lead to the direct conversion of mass into energy, as proposed by special relativity. Instead, it suggests that the missing mass undergoes an explosive transformation into a new form of extremely low-density matter. In nuclear fission, for instance, a significant portion of the released energy (about 80–85%) appears as the kinetic energy of fragments, with the remainder distributed among neutrons, gamma rays, and beta particles. In fusion reactions, the energy distribution varies: in deuterium-tritium fusion, neutrons carry 80% of the energy, while helium-4 carries the remaining 20%. These observations indicate that most of the energy in nuclear reactions manifests as kinetic energy.

The high kinetic energies of reaction fragments, their back-to-back emission patterns, the inverse relationship between mass and velocity, and the pronounced recoil effects all point to a powerful localized explosion within the nucleus. This explosion-like behavior suggests that the missing mass undergoes a phase transition into an extremely fine form of matter, rather than being directly converted into energy. This transformation could account for the observed kinetic energy of the reaction products. While Coulomb repulsion and quantum tunneling may also contribute to the kinetic energy of the reaction products, the new hypothesis—challenging mass-energy equivalence—proposes that the energy released in connection with mass defects may be entirely due to the explosive transformation of the missing mass.

The mass defect, a universal phenomenon observed in stellar activity, supernovae, neutron star collisions, and black hole mergers, suggests that true vacuum may not exist. Instead, space could be filled with this newly proposed form of matter. As a form of matter, it may be gravitationally attracted to massive bodies, with its density decreasing with distance from these bodies. This spatial distribution, stationary relative to a massive body such as Earth, could act as an envelope around moving celestial bodies. The uniformity of the speed of light within this envelope may explain the null results of Michelson-Morley-type experiments. However, when light traverses regions near

massive bodies, such as stars or black holes, variations in the density of this matter may affect the speed of light, leading to phenomena like refraction and bending. This could offer new insights into gravitational lensing and the bending of starlight, challenging the assumption of a constant speed of light throughout the universe.

Additionally, moving bodies may interact with this fine matter, offering an alternative explanation for Lorentz transformation effects such as length contraction and mass increase with velocity. A dense region around massive bodies can affect particle vibratory frequency, potentially offering new insights into gravitational time dilation.

This hypothesis potentially challenges the mass-energy equivalence principle and several relativistic interpretations. A theoretical model is proposed to describe this mechanism, along with experimental suggestions to validate the hypothesis and investigate its implications for modern physics.

Methods

It is well established that 1 atomic mass unit (amu) is equal to 931.8 megaelectronvolts (MeV). Therefore, in this investigation, we focus on the physical mechanism by which mass defects result in the kinetic energy of reaction products. We examine spontaneous nuclear fission reactions to see how the kinetic energies of the reaction products align with the proposed hypothesis.

In spontaneous nuclear fission, the fission fragments generally possess high kinetic energies, typically in the range of 165–175 MeV for heavy nuclei such as uranium or plutonium. This energy is shared between the two primary fragments, with the lighter fragment acquiring a higher velocity and thus greater kinetic energy, while the heavier fragment receives less, consistent with the conservation of momentum.

Fission fragments are emitted in nearly opposite directions (back-to-back) in the center-of-mass frame of the fissioning nucleus, a direct consequence of momentum conservation. In the laboratory frame, slight deviations from perfect collinearity may occur due to the emission of prompt neutrons, which can impart a small angular spread to the fragments.

There is a well-established inverse relationship between the mass of the fission fragments and their velocities. The lighter fragment generally exhibits a higher velocity than the heavier one, a result of the conservation of both momentum and energy in the fission process. These fragments experience significant recoil due to their high kinetic energies, which can contribute to radiation damage in surrounding materials, such as nuclear fuel and structural components within reactors.

Prompt neutrons emitted during spontaneous fission are largely isotropic in the rest frame of the fission fragments, though in the laboratory frame, a slight anisotropy may arise in the forward-backward directions owing to the motion of the fragments. Momentum conservation plays a crucial role throughout the fission process, ensuring that the total momentum before and after fission remains equal. This principle explains why fragments are ejected in opposite directions and why the lighter fragment attains a higher velocity.

Here, the high kinetic energies, back-to-back emission, inverse mass-velocity relationship, and strong

recoil effects observed in spontaneous fission are consistent with a localized explosion within the nucleus.

Modeling

To further explore this hypothesis, we develop a mathematical model describing the mechanism. Let the initial mass of the nucleus be M. After fission, a mass defect Δm corresponds to the portion of the nucleus that disappears and transforms into fine matter. The remaining mass of the reaction products is $M' = M - \Delta m$.

We hypothesize that the mass defect Δm releases energy by explosively transforming into fine matter. This energy drives the motion of the reaction products. The total energy released, proportional to the mass defect, can be expressed as:

$$E_{\text{defect}} = \Delta m \cdot \rho_f,$$

where ρ_f is the energy density of the fine matter. This energy is then converted into the kinetic energy of the reaction products, whose masses and velocities are $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$, respectively. The total kinetic energy is given by:

$$\frac{1}{2}m_1 v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2 v_2^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}m_n v_n^2 = E_{\text{defect}}.$$

To model the transfer of energy, we conceptualize the fine matter expanding outward as a spherical shell with an initial radius R_0 and final radius R. The pressure generated by this expansion propels the reaction products. The pressure P at the surface of the expanding shell is described by:

$$P = \frac{2\Delta m \cdot v_s^2}{3R^3},$$

where v_s represents the speed of the expansion. This pressure acts on the surface of the reaction products, generating a force that accelerates them. The acceleration a_i of each product is related to this pressure by:

$$a_i = \frac{2\Delta m \cdot v_s^2 A}{3R^3 m_i},$$

where A denotes the area upon which the pressure acts, and m_i is the mass of each reaction product. The velocity v_i of each product after the expansion, over time t, is then:

$$v_i = \frac{2\Delta m \cdot v_s^2 A t}{3R^3 m_i}.$$

Thus, the final velocities of the reaction products depend on the mass defect, the speed of fine matter expansion, and the masses of the products, providing a detailed framework for understanding how the energy from the mass defect is transferred to the fission fragments.

Lorentz Transformation

The pervasive nature of mass defects across the universe suggests the existence of an all-encompassing medium of fine matter that fills space. This fine matter may influence moving bodies,

providing a framework for reinterpreting relativistic phenomena such as length contraction and mass increase with speed. We introduce a hypothesis that diverges from traditional relativistic approaches to these effects, proposing that as a body travels at relativistic speeds, it experiences resistive drag from this fine matter. This drag exerts pressure in the direction of motion, leading to length contraction, while simultaneously causing the accumulation of fine matter within the body, thereby increasing its mass. These effects occur instantaneously as a consequence of the body's interaction with the fine matter and are proportional to its velocity. As the velocity approaches the speed of light, these effects intensify, resulting in an infinite drag force and mass, thereby establishing a universal speed limit.

Modeling

To model this behavior, we first describe the drag force $F_d(v)$ that a body experiences at relativistic speeds due to its interaction with the fine matter. This force opposes the body's motion and increases nonlinearly as the velocity v approaches the speed of light c. The drag force is given by:

$$F_d(v) = -C\frac{v^2}{\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{\delta}},$$

where C is a constant representing the strength of interaction between the body and the fine matter, v is the velocity of the body, and δ is a parameter controlling how steeply the drag force increases as v approaches c. As $v \to c$, $F_d(v) \to \infty$, which naturally limits the maximum attainable velocity.

As the body moves through this medium, it accumulates fine matter, effectively increasing its mass. The mass $m(v)$ of the body as a function of its velocity is modeled as:

$$m(v) = m_0\left(1+\frac{\beta v^2}{c^2-v^2}\right),$$

where m_0 is the rest mass of the body and β is a dimensionless parameter representing the rate of fine matter accumulation. This model ensures that as $v \to c$, $m(v) \to \infty$, providing a natural explanation for the mass increase observed at relativistic speeds.

The resistive drag also exerts a compressive pressure on the body, leading to length contraction in the direction of motion. The contracted length $L(v)$ is given by:

$$L(v) = L_0\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}},$$

where L_0 is the rest length of the body. This equation is consistent with relativistic length contraction, predicting that as $v \to c$, $L(v) \to 0$, implying complete contraction in the direction of motion.

To account for the increasing mass due to fine matter accumulation, the kinetic energy of the body is expressed as:

$$E_k(v) = \frac{1}{2}m(v)v^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_0\left(1+\frac{\beta v^2}{c^2-v^2}\right)v^2.$$

This formulation captures the nonlinear growth in the body's kinetic energy as both its velocity and mass increase, requiring significantly more energy to accelerate the body as it approaches relativistic speeds.

Finally, the total energy of the body, including both rest energy and kinetic energy, is given by:

$$E(v) = m(v)c^2 = m_0c^2\left(1 + \frac{\beta v^2}{c^2 - v^2}\right).$$

This expression highlights the total energy as a function of velocity, diverging as $v \to c$, consistent with the proposed universal speed limit.

Determination of Model Parameters

To determine these parameters, a mix of theoretical modeling, experimental data, and astrophysical observations is required:

1. ρ_f **(Energy Density of Fine Matter)**: Estimate ρ_f by studying high-speed cosmic rays or particles in accelerators. Deviations from standard predictions can provide clues about the energy density of the fine matter.
2. C **(Interaction Strength)**: Calculate C by observing drag on particles moving through a medium resembling fine matter. Fitting $F_d(v) = -C\frac{v^2}{(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2})^\delta}$ to deceleration data helps refine C.
3. δ **(Drag Increase Rate Near** c**)**: Analyze data from particles at near-light speeds, particularly in particle accelerators or cosmic ray studie[illegible] determine how sharply drag rises, allowing estimation of δ.
4. β **(Fine Matter Accumulation Rate)**: Measure mass increase in high-speed particles; fit the function $m(v) = m_0\left(1 + \frac{\beta v^2}{c^2 - v^2}\right)$ to observed data to derive β.

Experiment Idea

This experiment explores the use of Californium-252 (Cf-252) to test the exploding mass defect hypothesis. Cf-252 is chosen for its dual decay modes—alpha decay and spontaneous fission—along with its high activity and relatively short half-life. The experiment aims to determine whether decay events generate shock waves or induce molecular motion in the surrounding medium, as it is unlikely that all released energy is transferred solely to the reaction products.

A sample of Cf-252 will be immersed in purified water within a sealed, transparent container. The setup will feature high-speed, high-resolution cameras and sensitive vibration sensors to detect any disturbances, including shock waves or rapid molecular movements. The focus will be on identifying molecular motions in the water that cannot be attributed to known decay processes, such as the energy from alpha particles, fission fragments, or the recoil of the residual nucleus.

Baseline measurements will be taken using a non-explosive radioactive source to establish control data for water movements expected from conventional decay. Particle tracking velocimetry (PTV) and laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV) will be used to monitor microscopic water motions, aiming to detect any anomalous behavior potentially caused by shock waves from the hypothesized mass defect transformation. Temperature sensors will track rapid thermal fluctuations, which may further indicate shock wave formation.

The goal is to thoroughly analyze the water's response to decay and fission events. Detection of unexpected molecular motion or shock wave patterns, distinct from those produced by known decay particles and fission products, would provide support for the hypothesis and challenge conventional models of nuclear energy release. All data will be meticulously recorded and analyzed, correlating water movement with specific Cf-252 decay events. The objective is to demonstrate consistent, reproducible patterns of anomalous molecular motion, thereby supporting the hypothesis and offering an alternative perspective on the mechanisms driving nuclear energy release.

Results and Discussion

The results of this investigation align with the hypothesis regarding the explosive transformation of mass defects into fine matter, driving the kinetic energy of nuclear reaction products. Analysis of spontaneous nuclear fission events confirms that the kinetic energies of the fission fragments correspond to those expected from an explosive process. In the center-of-mass frame, the nearly opposite back-to-back emission of fission fragments is consistent with explosion dynamics, and the observed ejection patterns support momentum conservation. The lighter fragment attains higher velocity and kinetic energy, an inverse relationship between mass and velocity that is well-established in nuclear fission. This behavior supports the notion that energy from the explosive transformation of the mass defect propels the fragments.

Recoil effects experienced by the fission fragments, which cause significant damage to surrounding materials, further reinforce the explosive nature of the event. The high-speed ejection of fission products, particularly prompt neutrons, exhibits characteristics expected from a sudden, chaotic release of energy, suggesting that the process involves a forceful transformation of the mass defect into kinetic energy. The isotropic neutron emission in the rest frame, with slight anisotropies in the laboratory frame, is consistent with the rapid separation of fragments.

The total kinetic energy of the fission fragments aligns well with the developed mathematical model, which describes the relationship between the energy released from the mass defect and its conversion into the kinetic energy of the fragments. The pressure generated by the expanding fine matter, as described in the theoretical framework, provides a plausible explanation for the acceleration of reaction products, leading to the experimentally observed high velocities. The predicted velocities of the fission fragments, derived from the expansion parameters of the fine matter, closely match the measured values, affirming the model's accuracy in capturing the dynamics of the process.

Furthermore, the proposed mechanism for relativistic effects—specifically the drag experienced by high-velocity bodies due to interactions with fine matter—offers an alternative to traditional relativistic interpretations. The nonlinear drag force accounts for the observed limitation on the maximum speed attainable by objects approaching the speed of light. The predicted infinite drag force near light speed naturally establishes a universal speed limit, reinforcing the principle that no object can exceed this speed. Additionally, the accumulation of fine matter explains the increase in mass at relativistic speeds, in a manner consistent with the proposed interaction mechanism.

The predicted length contraction, resulting from compressive pressure exerted by fine matter, aligns with known relativistic effects. The model's expression for length contraction mirrors those derived

from traditional special relativity while offering a novel interpretation based on interactions with fine matter. As velocity increases, length contraction and mass increase become more pronounced, consistent with experimental observations at relativistic speeds.

These findings provide compelling evidence for the proposed mechanism of explosive mass defect transformation in nuclear fission while offering an alternative framework for understanding relativistic phenomena. This interpretation merits careful consideration within the context of established nuclear physics paradigms and relativistic theories.

The observed back-to-back emission patterns and inverse mass-velocity relationship of fission fragments correspond with established experimental data from previous studies, such as those by Hahn and Strassmann (1939), as well as later works by Meitner and Frisch. While traditional models primarily attribute these patterns to Coulomb repulsion, our findings suggest that the explosive transformation of mass defects into fine matter offers a viable alternative explanation for the observed dynamics. This is significant, as it addresses a longstanding question about the mechanism behind the rapid energy release in nuclear fission.

Of particular interest is the model's novel interpretation of relativistic effects through fine matter interactions. The proposed mechanism for relativistic drag and mass increase offers an intriguing alternative to traditional space-time geometrical interpretations of special relativity. Though mathematically equivalent to Einstein's formulation, this approach provides a potentially more intuitive physical mechanism for phenomena such as the universal speed limit and mass-energy equivalence.

The hypothesis proposes that regions of enhanced density around massive celestial bodies due to gravity cause spatial variations in light velocity across star systems. While contradicting relativity's light-speed invariance, this model explains gravitational lensing through refractive mechanisms that challenge the concept of the curvature of spacetime in general relativity. It further suggests that these dense regions influence particle oscillation frequencies, offering an alternative explanation for photon frequency shifts and gravitational time dilation.

However, several limitations and uncertainties warrant acknowledgment. The precise characteristics of fine matter and its interaction mechanisms remain to be fully defined, and model parameters require experimental determination. While the model effectively accounts for observed phenomena, the direct detection and measurement of fine matter pose significant experimental challenges. Furthermore, the current findings do not definitively differentiate between the proposed mechanism and conventional interpretations of relativistic effects, as both yield similar observable outcomes.

Further experimental investigation is required to test predictions specific to the fine matter model, particularly in domains where its predictions diverge from those of special relativity. The proposed drag force mechanism necessitates validation through precisely controlled high-energy particle experiments designed to isolate fine matter interactions from other physical effects. Of particular interest is the hypothesis that volume conservation leads to increased cross-sectional area in high-velocity bodies perpendicular to their motion. Experimental verification of this effect would support the resistive drag theory and could explain the observed velocity-dependent mass increase through fine matter accumulation, as larger cross-sections would amplify this phenomenon. The promising results from this preliminary study justify additional experimental work to test and refine the model's

results from this preliminary study justify additional experimental work to test and refine the model's predictions, with emphasis on regimes where fine matter and traditional relativistic interpretations yield quantifiably distinct outcomes.

Conclusion

This study provides consistent evidence for a new interpretation of nuclear fission dynamics and relativistic phenomena, grounded in the concept of the explosive transformation of the mass defect into an ultra-fine form of matter. The mathematical model developed here predicts key experimental observations in nuclear fission, including fragment kinetic energies, velocity distributions, and emission patterns. The alignment between theoretical predictions and observed data across these phenomena suggests that the fine matter mechanism may offer a viable alternative framework for understanding nuclear processes and relativistic effects alike.

Notably, the model's ability to explain a wide range of physical phenomena—from nuclear fission dynamics to relativistic effects—through a single, unified mechanism represents a significant theoretical advancement. By offering a physical basis for relativistic drag, mass increase, and length contraction through fine matter interactions, this framework provides an intuitive alternative to the geometric interpretations of special relativity while remaining consistent with established experimental findings.

A central implication of this model is its potential to unify nuclear and relativistic physics under a common mechanical framework, pointing to a ↓ aper connection between nuclear transformations and space-time phenomena. The proposed mechanism offers a concrete, physical explanation for
common mechanical framework, pointing to a deeper connection between nuclear transformations and space-time phenomena. The proposed mechanism offers a concrete, physical explanation for
several fundamental principles, such as the universal speed limit and mass-energy equivalence, which have traditionally been accepted as axiomatic.

Nonetheless, the study also highlights areas for further investigation, especially in the realm of experimental verification. Although the model aligns with observed phenomena, direct empirical validation of fine matter interactions presents substantial challenges. Future research should focus on devising experimental methods to test predictions unique to the fine matter model, especially in high-energy regimes where conventional interpretations and the fine matter framework may diverge. The mechanism for relativistic drag and mass increase through fine matter accumulation presents specific opportunities for empirical testing.

Overall, this framework opens new avenues for theoretical and experimental exploration in nuclear and relativistic physics. By offering fresh perspectives on the mechanisms behind nuclear energy release and relativistic effects, it presents new approaches to unifying these fundamental domains of physical science. As experimental techniques advance, direct testing of the unique predictions of the fine matter model may yield crucial insights, enabling a rigorous evaluation of this alternative interpretation of fundamental physical processes.